

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/779,439	02/13/2004	Stefanie Flohr	DEAV2003/0072 US NP	8764
5487	7590 05/05/2006		EXAMINER	
ROSS J. OEHLER			WARD, PAUL V	
AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS INC. 1041 ROUTE 202-206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL CODE: D303A			1624	
BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Applica	nt(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/779,439	FLOHR	ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit						
		PAUL V. WARD							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
· ·									
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers			•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	• '								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	/SB/08) 5) <u></u>	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- The compounds and compositions according to claim 1 of formula I, wherein n is 0. These are classifiable in class 548, subclass 1+.
- II. The compounds and compositions according to claim 1 of formula I, wherein n is 1. These are classifiable in class 544, subclass various.
- III. The methods according to claims 9-15, wherein n is 0. These claims are drawn to a method of treatment that is classifiable in class 514.
- IV. The methods according to claims 9-15, wherein n is 1. These claims are drawn to a method of treatment that is classifiable in class 514.

Inventions in Group I-II and Group III-IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as pyrimidinyl dione derivatives.

The inventions of Groups I-IV are separate and patentably distinct because there is no patentable co-action among them and a reference anticipating one member will not render another obvious.

Art Unit: 1624

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different classification, a search of the three groups designated above would impose an undue burden upon the examiner, and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Barbara Kurys on May 1, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is requested to <u>elect a specifically disclosed species</u> of the invention to be examined for search purposes.

Rejoinder Advisory

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims

Application/Control Number: 10/779,439 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL V. WARD whose telephone number is 571-272-2909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner,

Technology Center 1600